

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,101	02/01/2005	Liliana Bagala' Rampazzo	09931-00035-US	8413
	7590 09/18/2007 BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, L	.LP	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			NELSON, MICHAEL E	
WILMINGTOR	N, DE 19899		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1709	
	•			
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			09/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/523,101	BAGALA' RAMPAZZO ET AL.			
		10/523,101 Examiner				
	,		Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Michael E. Nelson	1709			
Period fo		curs on the cover sheet with the t	onespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Fe	ebruary 2005.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21,25,26,28 and 29 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20,25-26,28-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •					
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 02/01/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1709

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- 2. On page 18, paragraph [0155], several words in the NMR characterization portion have been lost, replaced with squares, likely due to misconversion of fonts.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 18 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- 4. In Claim 18, the phrase "according to claim 1 in a mixture of them as enantiomers" should be corrected to "according to claim 1 as a mixture of enantiomers."
- 5. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1709

7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for 2,2',7,7'-acyl substituted spirobifluorenes, does not reasonably provide enablement for acyl substitutents at other positions. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

8. Applicant claims compounds of the following structure, where K-M can be the same or different and where K-M can each be H or A-C=O, but where all of K-M are not H. The structure indicates substitution at all the available positions of the spirobifluorene structure.

9. Applicants provide two synthetic methods for making the compounds of the invention. The first involves a direct electrophilic acylation of the spirobifluorene ring system, and the second involves electrophilic acylation of an aromatic ring by an acyl halide made from the spirobifluorene compound. The precursor to the acyl halide of the spirobifluorene compound is an acyl substituted spirobifluorene compound, which is made by direct electrophilic acylation of the unsubstituted spirobifluorene.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/523,101

Art Unit: 1709

- 10. However, the nature of the spirobifluorene structure, and the relative reactivities of each of the positions of the ring does not allow for electrophilic acylation of any position other than 2, 2', 7, and 7'. By definition, since the molecule is symmetric the first substitution occurs at the 2 position, followed by the 2' position, or 7' position, depending on the stereochemistry of the product. The other positions of the spirobifluorene ring system can only be functionalized by electrophilic reactions **after** all of the 2, 2', 7, and 7' positions have been functionalized.
- 11. The electrophilic acylation of spirobifluorene is described by Lupo et al. (5,840,217). Lupo et al. disclose the synthesis of 2 acetyl, 2,2' diacetyl, 2,2',7-triacetyl, and 2,2',7,7'-tetraacetyl spirobifluorene compounds, using acetyl chloride and AlCl₃. They also synthesize 2,2',4,4',7,7'-hexabromo spirobifluorene by exhaustive bromination (a different electrophilic reaction), and show therefore that the other positions of the spirobifluorene ring system do not react until after the reaction is complete at all of the 2,2',7 and 7' positions.
- 12. Applicant does not provide a synthetic method for any compound substituted at any position other than the 2,2',7 or 7' position, while maintaining a hydrogen atom at each of those positions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 1709

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 14. Claims 1-21, 26, 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lupo et al. (5,840,217) with evidence supplied by Towle (4,898,983).
- 15. Concerning claims 1-17, Lupo et al. describe the synthesis and preparation of 9,9'-spirobifluorene compounds for use in electroluminescent devices. Lupo et al. specifically detail the synthesis of acetyl derivatives of 9,9'-spirobifluorene, particularly 2,2'-diacetyl-9,9'-spirobifluorene based on electrophilic acylation of 9,9'-spirobifluorene (column 27, Lines 5-22). Lupo et al. further details that the 2,2',7-triacetyl-9,9'-spirobifluorene and 2,2',7,7'-tetraacetyl-9,9'-spirobifluorene are synthesizable by control of the stoicheometry of the reaction. Though Lupo et al. do not specifically mention formation of the 2-acetyl-9,9'-spirobifluorene, based on the control of the stoicheometry proposed by Lupo et al., it would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to reduce the amount of acetyl chloride in the reaction to produce the mono-substituted 9,9'-spirobifluorene.
- 16. Based on the synthetic method described by Lupo et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute well-known aryl chlorides instead of acetyl chloride in the electrophilic acylation reaction to produce aroyl substituted 9,9'-spirobifluorene analogs. For example, Towle (4,898,983) describes the use of electrophilic acylation reactions for forming aryl carbonyl compounds, and specifically mention the use of acetyl chloride, or aryl chlorides such as 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride, and 4-hydroxybenzoyl chloride (column 4, lines 3-8).

Art Unit: 1709

- 17. Since Lupo et al. utilize the 9,9'-spirobifluorene compounds in an electroluminescent device, the compounds are known to be electrically conducting. In such a device, electrons are injected into the material, by definition producing the radical anion of the material. As such, the radical anions of the compounds are an obvious variant of the compounds themselves, since they are used as materials in electrical devices.
- 18. Concerning claim 18-19, Lupo et al. describe the synthesis of mono, di, tri, and tetra substituted compounds as discussed above. Lupo et al. does not specifically mention the separation of enantiomers after synthesis, so the compounds would be present as a mixture of enantiomers. However, resolution of enantiomeric mixtures is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to resolve the enantiomeric mixture into optically pure enantiomers using standard techniques, including chiral HPLC, or chiral derivatization.
- 19. Concerning claim 20, Lupo et al. describe the method used to synthesize the 9,9'-spirobifluorene compounds by reacting an the unsubstituted 9,9'-spirobifluorene with acetyl chloride in the presence of AlCl₃ (Lewis Acid) in CS₂ as solvent, at reflux temperature.
- 20. Concerning claim 21, Lupo et al. describe the acyl-chloride functionalized 9,9'-spirobifluorene compound (column 32, lines 31-40). The formation of aromatic carbonyl compounds by the reaction of acyl halides with aromatic compounds in the presence of Lewis acids is a well known process (as evidenced by Towle), it would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/523,101 Page 7

Art Unit: 1709

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to synthesize the aroyl substituted 9,9'-spirobifluorene compounds by electrophilic acylation of an aromatic compound by an acyl halide functionalized 9,9'-spirobifluorene compound.

- 21. Concerning claim 26 and 28, Lupo et al. describe the use of 9,9'spirobifluorene compounds in electroluminescent devices. While the specific acetyl substituted compounds are not specifically used by Lupo et al. in the electroluminescent device, Lupo et al. describe the utility of many 9,9'-spirobifluorene compounds, including unsubstituted 9,9'-spirobifluorene compounds, as materials for electroluminescent devices. Based on the general teaching by Lupo, et al. it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to test the aroyl-functionalized 9,9'-spirobifluorene compounds as materials for electroluminescent devices.
- 22. Concerning claim 29, Lupo et al. describe the synthesis of the multi-acetyl substituted 9,9-spirobifluorene compounds, as discussed above. Lupo et al. also describe the conversion of those multi-acetyl substituted compounds into multi-carboxyl substituted compounds, (column 27, lines 27-41). Lupo, et al. also disclose the conversion of the di-carboxyl-9,9'-spirobifluorene compounds into the di-acylchloride substituted 9,9'-spirobifluorene. (Column 32, lines 31-40) Based on the method described by Lupo et al. it would have been obvious to use the same conditions to convert the known multi-carboxyl substituted compounds into the multi-acylchloride substituted compounds.

Art Unit: 1709

23. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lupo et al. as applied to claim 1-21, 26, 28-29 above, and further in view of Pedersen et al. (Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, vol. 454, pp. 123-143, 1998).

- 24. Concerning claim 25, Lupo et al. describe the acyl substituted 9,9'-spirobifluorene compounds as discussed above, but are silent on the method of production of the radical anion of the compounds.
- 25. Pedersen et al. describe the production and measurement of radical anions of several aromatic compounds, and describe the method as electrochemically generating, by supplying an electrical potential, a radical anion of a 2-6 mM solution of aromatic compound in a solution of 0.1M TBABF₄ (tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate, a supporting electrolyte) in DMF (page 125). The solution of TBABF₄ in DMF was dried (made anhydrous) prior to each experiment (page 124).
- 26. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a general method for preparing and measuring radical anions as described by Pedersen et al. on compounds as described by Lupo et al. for the purpose of measuring and analyzing the radical anions formed in an electronic device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael E. Nelson whose telephone number is 571-270-3453. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am-5:00pm EST (First Friday Off).

Art Unit: 1709

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Lawrence Tarazano can be reached on 571-272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael E. Nelson

Examiner

Art Unit 1709

D. LAWRENCE TARAZANO PRIMARY EXAMINER